

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,254	06/15/2001	Hugh Boyd Morrison	RCA 89185	6997
Joseph S Tripoli Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc PO Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08540			EXAMINER	
			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/868,254	MORRISON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Reuben M. Brown	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	July 2007.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyal ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 09/868,254

Art Unit: 2623

1

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyer, (U.S. PG-PUB 2005/0138660), in view of Knudson, (US-PG-PUB 2005/0273819) & LeMole, (U.S. Pat # 6,009,410).

Considering claim 1, the amended claimed method for operating a video processing apparatus, such that the video processing apparatus operates in a video—operating mode and in at least one other mode, and wherein the video processing apparatus has an EPG operable in the video operating mode and not in the at least one other mode', is met by the disclosure of Boyer, (Fig. 2), which shows the standard operating mode of an EPG.

'Operating the video processing apparatus in at least one other mode, comprising operating a computer application software program on the video processing apparatus, such that the computer application software program is capable of receiving messages' is met by the disclosure of Boyer, that the user equipment, multimedia system 28, 3, 32 is enabled to support e-mail technology, (Fig. 1; Para [0042]-[0043]). The claimed computer application software program, reads on the e-mail service disclosed in Boyer. The amended claimed feature of the computer application software program having a display, reads on the display of Fig. 6.

'Receiving an advertisement associated with a broadcast TV program, while the computer application software program is running' is met by Fig. 6, Para [0051]-[0052], which shows an advertisement for a TV program being received via e-mail. 'Causing the advertisement to be displayed by the computer application software program in an area of the display', is also met by Boyer, Para [0051].

'receiving a signal selecting the advertisement' and 'operating the video processing apparatus in the video operating mode to obtaining the TV program related to the advertisement', Boyer does not specifically show that the subscriber can select the advertised program, for tuning, from the reminder. Nevertheless Knudson, which is in the same field of endeavor, teaches a subscriber choosing to immediately tune to an advertised program, see Para [0068]-[0069], using a TUNE button 129b, (Fig. 9). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Boyer in order to automatically tune to

Art Unit: 2623

the reminder, at least for the advantage of avoiding the need for the user to require a different screen in order to access the advertised program.

As for the further claimed limitation that the 'advertisement is associated with a broadcast TV program, specified by a service provider', Knudson Boyer are ambiguous. However, LeMole teaches that viewer may complete a registration that indicates that user would like to receive web advertisements regarding the selected interests, such as TV programs or movies, see Fig. 2 & col. 1, lines 55-65 & col. 4, lines 34-67. Thus the combination of Boyer, Knudson & LeMole provides that a web advertisement regarding television programming is displayed, while the user is viewing a web page. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Boyer with feature of allowing the user to customize advertisements on a web screen, at least for the benefit of providing the user with advertisements that are more meaningful, as taught by LeMole, col 1, lines 15-65.

Considering claims 2 & 8-9, the e-mail technology of Boyer meets the claimed subject matter.

Considering claim 3-4, the claimed 'control information' reads on the disclosure of Fig. 6 in Boyer & Fig. 9 of Knudson.

Considering claim 5, see Knudson, Para [0068]-[0069]; [0084]-[0085].

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claim 6-7, the claimed method steps for operating a video processing apparatus comprising steps that correspond with subject matter mentioned above on the rejection of claim 1, are likewise treated.

Considering claim 10, see Knudson [0068] & [0084].

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,254

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label

Page 6

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown